arXiv:1609.09352v1 [math.RT] 29 Sep 2016

CALIBRATED REPRESENTATIONS OF AFFINE YOKONUMA-HECKE ALGEBRAS

WEIDENG CUI

Abstract. Inspired by the work [Ra1], we directly give a complete classification of irreducible calibrated representations of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ over $\mathbb{C}$, which are indexed by r-tuples of placed skew shapes. We then develop several applications of this result. In the appendix, inspired by [Ru], we classify and construct irreducible completely splittable representations of degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras $D_{r,n}$ and the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ such that p does not divide r.

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivated by [Ma] on the dimension of the irreducible $\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic p, Kleshchev [Kle] studied a class of $\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_n$-modules, called completely splittable, on which the Jucys-Murphy elements act semisimply. Ruff [Ru] classified and constructed the irreducible completely splittable representations of degenerate affine Hecke algebras, also recovering Kleshchev's work. Later on, Wan [Wa] classified and constructed irreducible completely splittable representations of affine and finite Hecke-Clifford algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to 2.

Similar objects have arisen in many other contexts and might be used in different terminology, for example Gelfand-Zetlin [Ch, OV], seminormal [Mat], homogeneous [KleRa]. In particular, Ram [Ra1] generalized the classical construction of A. Young to classify and construct all finite dimensional irreducible calibrated representations of affine Hecke algebras of type A, and generalized the construction to other types in [Ra2]. This is the class of representations of affine Hecke algebras for which there is a good theory of Young tableaux.

1.2. Yokonuma-Hecke algebras were introduced by Yokonuma [Yo] as a centralizer algebra associated to the permutation representation of a finite Chevalley group G with respect to a maximal unipotent subgroup of G. The Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $\mathrm{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ (of type A) is a quotient of the group algebra of the modular framed braid group $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr B_n$, where B_n is the braid group on n strands (of type A). By the presentation given by Juyumaya and Kannan [Ju1, Ju2, JuK], the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $\mathrm{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ can also be regraded as a deformation of the group algebra of the complex reflection group $G(r, 1, n)$, which is isomorphic to the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Recently, by generalizing the approach of Okounkov-Vershik [OV] on the representation theory of $\mathfrak{S}_n$, Chlouveraki and Poulain d'Andecy [ChPA1] introduced the notion of

the affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $\widehat{\mathrm{Y}}_{r,n}(q)$ and gave explicit formulae for all irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ over $\mathbb{C}(q)$, and obtained a semisimplicity criterion for it. In their subsequent paper [ChPA2], they studied the representation theory of the affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $\widehat{\mathrm{Y}}_{r,n}(q)$ and the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $\mathrm{Y}^d_{r,n}(q)$. In particular, they gave the classification of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Y}^d_{r,n}(q)$ in the generic semisimple case. We [CWa] gave the classification of the simple $\widehat{\mathrm{Y}}_{r,n}(q)$-modules as well as the classification of the simple modules of the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic p such that p does not divide r. Rostam [Ro] proved that the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebra is a particular case of cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras. In the past several years, the study of affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras has made substantial progress; see [ChPA1-2, ChS, C1-4, CWa, ER, JaPA, Lu, PA2, Ro].

1.3. Inspired by the work [Ra1], in this paper we classify and construct irreducible calibrated representations of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ over $\mathbb{C}$, which are indexed by r-tuples of placed skew shapes. We then develop several applications of this result. In the appendix, inspired by [Ru], we give a complete classification of irreducible completely splittable representations of degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras $D_{r,n}$ and the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p such that p does not divide r.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and properties. In Section 3, we present a complete classification and construction of irreducible calibrated representations of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. In Section 4, we develop several applications of this classification result. In Section 5 (Appendix), we classify and construct the irreducible completely splittable representations of degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras $D_{r,n}$ and the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p such that p does not divide r.

2. The definition and properties of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras

2.1. **The definition of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$.** Fix an element $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ which is not a root of unity.

Definition 2.1. The affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, denoted by $\widehat{Y}_{r,n} = \widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$, is an $\mathbb{C}$-associative algebra generated by the elements $t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, X_1^{\pm 1}$, in which the generators $t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_i g_j &= g_j g_i && \text{for all } i,j = 1,\dots,n-1 \text{ such that } |i-j| \geq 2,\\
g_i g_{i+1} g_i &= g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} && \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n-2,\\
t_i t_j &= t_j t_i && \text{for all } i,j = 1,\dots,n,\\
g_i t_j &= t_{s_i(j)} g_i && \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n-1 \text{ and } j = 1,\dots,n,\\
t_i^r &= 1 && \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n,\\
g_i^2 &= 1 + (q - q^{-1}) e_i g_i && \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n-1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where s_i is the transposition $(i, i+1)$ in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on n letters, and for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$e_i := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s},$$

together with the following relations concerning the generators $X_1^{\pm 1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 X_1^{-1} = X_1^{-1} X_1 &= 1, \\ g_1 X_1 g_1 X_1 &= X_1 g_1 X_1 g_1, \\ g_i X_1 &= X_1 g_i \qquad \text{for all } i = 2, \dots, n-1, \\ t_j X_1 &= X_1 t_j \qquad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that the elements e_i are idempotents in $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$. The elements g_i are invertible, with the inverse given by

$$g_i^{-1} = g_i - (q - q^{-1}) e_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, and let $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be a reduced expression of w. By Matsumoto's lemma, the element $g_w := g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_r}$ does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression of w.

Let $i, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and set

$$e_{i,k} := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} t_i^s t_k^{-s}. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that $e_{i,i} = 1$, $e_{i,k} = e_{k,i}$, and that $e_{i,i+1} = e_i$. It can be easily checked that the following holds:

$$e_{j,k} g_i = g_i e_{s_i(j), s_i(k)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } j, k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, we have $e_i g_i = g_i e_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

We define inductively elements $X_2, \dots, X_n$ in $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ by

$$X_{i+1} := g_i X_i g_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{2.6}$$

Then it is proved in [ChPA1, Lemma 1] that we have, for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$g_i X_j = X_j g_i \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ such that } j \neq i, i+1. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, by [ChPA1, Proposition 1], we have that the elements $t_1, \dots, t_n, X_1, \dots, X_n$ form a commutative family, that is,

$$xy = yx \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \{t_1, \dots, t_n, X_1, \dots, X_n\}. \tag{2.8}$$

We shall often use the following identities (see [ChPA2, Lemma 2.3]): for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_i X_i &= X_{i+1} g_i - (q - q^{-1}) e_i X_{i+1}, \\ g_i X_{i+1} &= X_i g_i + (q - q^{-1}) e_i X_{i+1}, \\ g_i X_i^{-1} &= X_{i+1}^{-1} g_i + (q - q^{-1}) e_i X_i^{-1}, \\ g_i X_{i+1}^{-1} &= X_i^{-1} g_i - (q - q^{-1}) e_i X_i^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

2.2. **Combinatorics.** $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is called a partition of n if it is a finite sequence of non-increasing nonnegative integers whose sum is n. We write $\lambda \vdash n$ if λ is a partition of n, and we set $|\lambda| := n$. We shall identify a partition λ with a Young diagram, which is the set

$$[\lambda] := \{(i,j) \mid i \geq 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}.$$

We shall regard λ as a left-justified array of boxes such that there exist λ_j boxes in the j-th row for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

An r-partition of n is an ordered r-tuple $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$ of partitions $\lambda^{(k)}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{r} |\lambda^{(k)}| = n$. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ are two r-partitions such that $\mu_i^{(s)} \leq \lambda_i^{(s)}$ for all i and $1 \leq s \leq r$, we write $\boldsymbol{\mu} \subseteq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. The r-skew shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ consists of all boxes of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ which are not in $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. The $\lambda^{(i)}/\mu^{(i)}$ $(1 \leq i \leq r)$ is called the component of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$, which is a union of connected components. We shall label the boxes of an r-skew shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ along major diagonals from southwest to northeast of the first component, and then along major diagonals from southwest to northeast of the second component, and so on. If a box contains the number i, we denote it by box_i. Let $\mathcal{S}_{r,n}$ denote the set of r-skew shapes with n boxes.

Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be an r-skew shape with n boxes. A standard tableau of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is a labelling of the boxes in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ with the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that the numbers strictly increase from left to right along each row and from top to bottom down each column. Let $\mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ denote the set of standard tableaux of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$.

For each $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{S}_{r,n}$, a row reading tableau $\mathcal{R}$ of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the standard tableau where $1, 2, \dots, n$ appear from left to right across the rows of the first component, beginning with its northeast most connected component, and then across the rows of the second component, also beginning with its northeast most connected component, and so on. A column reading tableau $\mathcal{C}$ of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the standard tableau where $1, 2, \dots, n$ appear from left to right across the columns of the first component, beginning with its southwest most connected component, and then across the rows of the second component, also beginning with its southwest most connected component, and so on.

The following lemma can be regarded as a generalization of [BW, Theorem 7.1] and can be proved similarly.

Lemma 2.2. *For each $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$, we denote by $\mathcal{T}(\mathrm{box}_i)$ the element containing in box_i of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $n_i = |\lambda^{(i)}| - |\mu^{(i)}|$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. We define a subgroup $w_{\mathcal{T}} := w_{\mathcal{T}_1} \times w_{\mathcal{T}_2} \times \cdots \times w_{\mathcal{T}_r}$, where*

$$w_{\mathcal{T}_i} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1} + 1 & n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1} + 2 & \cdots & n_1 + \cdots + n_{i-1} + n_i \\ \mathcal{T}(\mathrm{box}_{(n_1+\cdots+n_{i-1}+1)}) & \mathcal{T}(\mathrm{box}_{(n_1+\cdots+n_{i-1}+2)}) & \cdots & \mathcal{T}(\mathrm{box}_{(n_1+\cdots+n_{i-1}+n_i)}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be the column reading and row reading tableaux of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. The map

$$\mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}) \to \mathfrak{S}_n, \qquad \mathcal{T} \mapsto w_{\mathcal{T}}$$

defines a bijection between $\mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ and the interval $[w_{\mathcal{C}}, w_{\mathcal{R}}]$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ (in the Bruhat ordr).

Next we give the definition of placed r-skew shapes, generalizing the constructions in [Ra1]. Set $\mathbb{R} + i[0, 2\pi/\ln(q^2)) = \{a + bi \mid a \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq b \leq 2\pi/\ln(q^2)\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. If q is a positive

real number then the following map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}+i[0,2\pi/\ln(q^2)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^* \\ x & \longmapsto & q^{2x}=e^{\ln(q^2)x} \end{array}$$

is a bijection.

A pair $(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ is called a placed r-skew shape if it consists of a skew shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and a content function

$$\mathrm{c}\colon \{\text{boxes of } \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}+i[0,2\pi/\ln(q^2)) \qquad \text{such that}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_j)\geq \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_i), & \text{if } j>i \text{ and } \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_j)-\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_i)\in\mathbb{Z}, \\ \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_j)=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_i)+1, & \text{if and only if } \mathrm{box}_j \text{ and } \mathrm{box}_i \text{ are on adjacent diagonals}, \\ \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_j)=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{box}_i), & \text{if and only if } \mathrm{box}_j \text{ and } \mathrm{box}_i \text{ are on the same diagonal}. \end{array}$$

This is a generalization of the usual notion of the content of a box in an r-partition.

The following lemma can be regarded as a generalization of [Ra1, Lemma 2.2] and can be proved similarly.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ be a placed r-skew shape with n boxes and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a standard tableau of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. We denote by $\mathcal{T}|i$ the box of $\mathcal{T}$ containing i and $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)$ the index of the component in which the box is. Then the sequence*

$$(\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|1),\dots,\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|n),\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|1),\dots,\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|n))$$

uniquely determines the placed r-skew shape $(c,\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ and the standard tableau $\mathcal{T}$.

2.3. **The τ operators.** Let $J=\{1,2,\dots,r\}$. We now fix once and for all a total order on the set of r-th roots of unity via setting $\zeta_k:=\zeta^{k-1}$ for $1\leq k\leq r$. Set $S:=\{\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\dots,\zeta_r\}$.

A finite dimensional $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module is called calibrated if it has a basis $\{w_t\}$ such that for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and all w_t, we have

$$X_i w_t=\nu_i w_t \quad \text{for some } \nu_i\in\mathbb{C}^*, \qquad t_i w_t=\zeta_{j_i} w_t \quad \text{for some } \zeta_{j_i}\in S.$$

Let X be the abelian group generated by the elements $X_1^{\pm1},\dots,X_n^{\pm1}$ of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ and let V denote by all the group homomorphisms from X to $\mathbb{C}^*$, which can be identified with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ by identifying the element $\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)\in(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with the homomorphism given by $\nu(X_i)=\nu_i$ for all $1\leq i\leq n$.

Let M be a finite dimensional $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module. For each $\nu=(\nu_1,\dots,\nu_n)\in V$ and $j=(j_1,\dots,j_n)\in J^n$, we define the weight spaces and generalized weight spaces of M by

$$M_{(\nu,j)}=\{w\in M\mid (X_a-\nu_a)w=(t_a-\zeta_{j_a})w=0 \text{ for all } 1\leq a\leq n\}. \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$M^{\mathrm{gen}}_{(\nu,j)}=\{w\in M\mid (X_a-\nu_a)^N w=(t_a-\zeta_{j_a})w=0 \text{ for all } 1\leq a\leq n \text{ and } N\gg 0\}, \tag{2.11}$$

respectively. By definition, we have $M_{(\nu,j)}\subseteq M^{\mathrm{gen}}_{(\nu,j)}$ and M is calibrated if and only if $M_{(\nu,j)}=M^{\mathrm{gen}}_{(\nu,j)}$ for all $\nu\in V$ and $j\in J^n$. Since the elements X_i,t_i, for all $1\leq i\leq n$, pairwise commute, by Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have

$$M=\bigoplus_{(\nu,j)\in V\times J^n} M^{\mathrm{gen}}_{(\nu,j)}.$$

The weights of M are elements of the following set

$$\mathrm{supp}(M) = \{(\nu, j) \in V \times J^n \mid M^{\mathrm{gen}}_{(\nu,j)} \neq 0\}.$$

An element of $M_{(\nu,j)}$ is called a weight vector of weight (ν, j).

Assume that M is a finite dimensional $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module and an element $(\nu, j) = ((\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n), (j_1, \dots, j_n)) \in \mathrm{supp}(M)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1}$ and $j_i = j_{i+1}$, we define

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \tau_i: & M^{\mathrm{gen}}_{(\nu,j)} & \longrightarrow & M^{\mathrm{gen}}_{(s_i\nu, s_i j)} \\ & w & \longmapsto & \Big(g_i - \frac{(q-q^{-1})X_{i+1}}{X_{i+1}-X_i}\Big)w; \end{array}$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$, we define $\tau_i w = g_i w$.

It is easy to see that the map τ_i is well-defined. The following lemma is proved in [C3, Lemma 2.9].

Lemma 2.4. *For each finite dimensional $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module M and $(\nu, j) \in \mathrm{supp}(M)$, we have*

$$\tau_i X_{i+1} = X_i \tau_i, \quad X_{i+1}\tau_i = \tau_i X_i \text{ if } \nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1} \text{ and } j_i = j_{i+1}; \tag{2.12}$$

$$\tau_i X_j = X_j \tau_i \text{ if } j \neq i, i+1; \tag{2.13}$$

$$\tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i \text{ if } |i-j| > 1; \tag{2.14}$$

$$\tau_i^2 = \frac{(qX_{i+1} - q^{-1}X_i)(qX_i - q^{-1}X_{i+1})}{(X_{i+1}-X_i)(X_i - X_{i+1})} \text{ if } \nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1} \text{ and } j_i = j_{i+1}; \tag{2.15}$$

$$\tau_i\tau_{i+1}\tau_i = \tau_{i+1}\tau_i\tau_{i+1} \text{ if } \nu_i, \nu_{i+1}, \nu_{i+2} \text{ are different from each other.} \tag{2.16}$$

Let us state the following two facts, which are used in the next section. Assume that M is a finite dimensional $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module and $w_{(\nu,j)}$ is a weight vector in M of weight (ν, j).
(2.5a) If $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1}$ and $j_i = j_{i+1}$, then

$$\tau_i w_{(\nu,j)} = \Big(g_i - \frac{(q-q^{-1})\nu_{i+1}}{\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i}\Big) w_{(\nu,j)}$$

is a weight vector of weight $(s_i\nu, j)$.
(2.5b) By (2.15), we have

$$\tau_i^2 w_{(\nu,j)} = \frac{(q\nu_{i+1} - q^{-1}\nu_i)(q\nu_i - q^{-1}\nu_{i+1})}{(\nu_{i+1}-\nu_i)(\nu_i - \nu_{i+1})} w_{(\nu,j)}.$$

Thus, if $j_i = j_{i+1}$, $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1}$ and $\nu_i \neq q^{\pm 2}\nu_{i+1}$, then $\tau_i w_{(\nu,j)} \neq 0$.

3. Classification of irreducible calibrated representations

In this section, we present a complete classification and construction of irreducible calibrated representations of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\mathrm{c}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ be a placed r-skew shape with n boxes. Let $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space spanned by all $w_{\mathcal{T}}$, where $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$. We define an action of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ on $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ by the following formulas:*

$$t_j w_{\mathcal{T}} = \zeta_{\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j)} w_{\mathcal{T}} \qquad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{3.1}$$

$$X_j w_{\mathcal{T}} = q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|j)} w_{\mathcal{T}} \qquad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{3.2}$$

For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, *if* $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) \neq \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$, *we have*

$$g_i w_{\mathcal{T}} = w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}; \tag{3.3}$$

if $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) = \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$, *then*

$$g_i w_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}(q-q^{-1})}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}} w_{\mathcal{T}} + \frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)+1} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)-1}}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}} w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ *is the tableau obtained from* $\mathcal{T}$ *by applying* σ *on the numbers contained in the boxes of* $\mathcal{T}$ *for any* $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. *Then we have*

(*a*) $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ *is an irreducible calibrated* $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$*-module.*

(*b*) *The modules* $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ *for different* $(\mathrm{c}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ *are non-isomorphic.*

(*c*) *Every irreducible calibrated* $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$*-module is isomorphic to* $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ *for some placed* r*-skew shape* $(\mathrm{c}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$.

Proof. The theorem can be proved in exactly the same way as in [Ra1, Theorem 4.1], and we only emphasize the differences.

♣1 We show that the formulas in (3.1-3.4) define a $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module.

The representations of $\widehat{Y}_{r,2}$ have been studied in [ChPA1, Section 3.4], which implies that the relations $g_i^2 = 1 + (q-q^{-1})e_i g_i$, $g_i t_i = t_{i+1} g_i$ and $g_i t_{i+1} = t_i g_i$ hold.

Since $g_i w_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a linear combination of $w_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}$, the relations $g_i t_j = t_j g_i$, for $j \neq i, i+1$, are also verified. The relations $t_i^r = 1$ and $t_i t_j = t_j t_i$ are obviously true.

Then we verify the relations

$$X_{i+1} w_{\mathcal{T}} = g_i X_i g_i w_{\mathcal{T}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \tag{3.5}$$

If $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) = \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$, it follows from the representation theory of affine Hecke algebras of type A. If $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) \neq \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$, then $s_i\mathcal{T}$ is standard and we have

$$g_i X_i g_i w_{\mathcal{T}} = g_i X_i w_{s_i\mathcal{T}} = q^{2\mathrm{c}(s_i\mathcal{T}|i)} g_i w_{s_i\mathcal{T}} = q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)} w_{\mathcal{T}} = X_{i+1} w_{\mathcal{T}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Next we verify the relations

$$g_i g_j w_{\mathcal{T}} = g_j g_i w_{\mathcal{T}} \quad \text{for } |i-j| > 1. \tag{3.7}$$

If $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) = \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j) = \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j+1)$, it follows from the representation theory of affine Hecke algebras of type A.

Assume that $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) \neq \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j) \neq \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j+1)$. Then $s_i\mathcal{T}$, $s_j\mathcal{T}$, $s_j s_i\mathcal{T}$ and $s_i s_j\mathcal{T}$, and we have $s_i s_j\mathcal{T} = s_j s_i\mathcal{T}$. Thus, $g_i g_j w_{\mathcal{T}} = w_{s_i s_j\mathcal{T}} = w_{s_j s_i\mathcal{T}} = g_j g_i w_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Next assume that $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) \neq \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j) = \mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j+1)$. Then $s_i\mathcal{T}$ is standard and we have

$$g_i g_j w_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}(q-q^{-1})}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}} w_{s_i\mathcal{T}} + \frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)+1} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)-1}}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}} g_i(w_{s_j\mathcal{T}}) \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$g_j g_i w_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}(q-q^{-1})}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}} w_{s_i\mathcal{T}} + \frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)+1} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)-1}}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)} - q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}} w_{s_j s_i\mathcal{T}}. \tag{3.9}$$

If $s_j\mathcal{T}$ is standard, then $g_i w_{s_j\mathcal{T}} = w_{s_i s_j\mathcal{T}} = w_{s_j s_i\mathcal{T}}$; if $s_j\mathcal{T}$ is not standard, then $s_j s_i\mathcal{T} = s_i s_j\mathcal{T}$ is not standard either, and $g_i w_{s_j\mathcal{T}} = 0 = w_{s_j s_i\mathcal{T}}$. In any case, we have $g_i g_j w_{\mathcal{T}} = g_j g_i w_{\mathcal{T}}$.

The case that $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)=\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j)\neq\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|j+1)$ can be dealt with similarly.

Finally we verify the relations

$$g_ig_{i+1}g_iw_{\mathcal{T}}=g_{i+1}g_ig_{i+1}w_{\mathcal{T}}\quad\text{ for } 1\leq i\leq n-1. \tag{3.10}$$

If $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)=\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)=\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+2)$, it again follows from the representation theory of affine Hecke algebras of type A.

Assume that $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)$, $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$, $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+2)$ are different from each other, then we have

$$g_ig_{i+1}g_iw_{\mathcal{T}}=w_{s_is_{i+1}s_i\mathcal{T}}=w_{s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}=g_{i+1}g_ig_{i+1}w_{\mathcal{T}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Assume that $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)=\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)\neq\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+2)$. Then $s_{i+1}\mathcal{T}$ and $s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}$ are standard and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_ig_{i+1}g_iw_{\mathcal{T}}&=g_ig_{i+1}\Big(\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}(q-q^{-1})}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}w_{\mathcal{T}}+\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)+1}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)-1}}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}\Big) &(3.12)\\
&=g_i\Big(\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}(q-q^{-1})}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}w_{s_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}+\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)+1}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)-1}}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}g_{i+1}w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}\Big) &(3.13)\\
&=\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}(q-q^{-1})}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}w_{s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}+\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)+1}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)-1}}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}g_ig_{i+1}w_{s_i\mathcal{T}} &(3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_{i+1}g_ig_{i+1}w_{\mathcal{T}}=\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}(q-q^{-1})}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}w_{s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}+\frac{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)+1}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)-1}}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)}-q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}}w_{s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}. \tag{3.15}$$

If $s_i\mathcal{T}$ is standard, then $s_{i+1}s_i\mathcal{T}$ and $s_is_{i+1}s_i\mathcal{T}$ are standard, and $g_ig_{i+1}w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}=w_{s_is_{i+1}s_i\mathcal{T}}=w_{s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}$; if $s_i\mathcal{T}$ is not standard, then $s_{i+1}s_i\mathcal{T}$ and $s_is_{i+1}s_i\mathcal{T}$ are not standard either, and $g_ig_{i+1}w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}=0=w_{s_is_{i+1}s_i\mathcal{T}}=w_{s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}$. In any case, we have $g_ig_{i+1}w_{s_i\mathcal{T}}=w_{s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}\mathcal{T}}$.

The cases that $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)\neq\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)=\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+2)$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)=\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+2)\neq\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i+1)$ can be dealt with similarly. We skip the details.

♣2 The $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ is irreducible.

For each $1\leq k\leq n$, we define the following two sets:

$$\mathcal{C}(k):=\{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|k)}\mid\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})\text{ for some }\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{S}_{r,n}\},$$

and

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}(k)}:=\{\zeta_{\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|k)}\mid\mathcal{T}\in\mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})\text{ for some }\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}\in\mathcal{S}_{r,n}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a standard tableau of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. We define

$$\pi_{\mathcal{T}}=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\Big(\prod_{\substack{c\in\mathcal{C}(k)\\ c\neq q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|k)}}}\frac{X_k-c}{q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|k)}-c}\cdot\prod_{\substack{\bar{c}\in\overline{\mathcal{C}(k)}\\ \bar{c}\neq\zeta_{\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|k)}}}\frac{t_k-\bar{c}}{\zeta_{\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|k)}-\bar{c}}\Big). \tag{3.16}$$

We have $\pi_{\mathcal{T}}w_{\mathcal{Q}}=\delta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{Q}}w_{\mathcal{T}}$ for all $\mathcal{Q}\in\mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$, which follows from Lemma 2.3.

Assume that M is a nonzero submodule of $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ and $w=\sum a_{\mathcal{Q}}w_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a nonzero element of M. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be some standard tableau such that the coefficient $a_{\mathcal{T}}$ is nonzero. Then $\pi_{\mathcal{T}}w=a_{\mathcal{T}}w_{\mathcal{T}}$, and so $w_{\mathcal{T}}\in M$.

By Lemma 2.2, we can identify $\mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ with an interval in $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Under this identification, there exists a chain $\mathcal{C} < s_{i_1}\mathcal{C} < \cdots < s_{i_p}\cdots s_{i_1}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{T}$ such that all elements of the chain are standard tableaux of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. By definition, we have

$$\tau_{i_1}\cdots\tau_{i_p}w_{\mathcal{T}} = \rho w_{\mathcal{C}}$$

for some $\rho \in \mathbb{C}^*$. So we have $w_{\mathcal{C}} \in M$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be an arbitrary standard tableau of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Again, there exists a chain $\mathcal{C} < s_{j_1}\mathcal{C} < \cdots < s_{j_p}\cdots s_{j_1}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Q}$ of standard tableaux of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. We have

$$\tau_{j_p}\cdots\tau_{j_1}w_{\mathcal{C}} = \rho' w_{\mathcal{Q}}$$

for some $\rho' \in \mathbb{C}^*$. So we have $w_{\mathcal{Q}} \in M$. It follows that $M = \widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ and $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ is irreducible.

♣3 The $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-modules $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ for different $(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ are non-isomorphic.
It follows from Lemma 2.3.

♣4 If $(\nu, j) = ((\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_n),(j_1,\ldots,j_n))$ is a weight of an irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module, then for $1 \le i \le n$, we have $v_i = q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)}$ and $j_i = \zeta_{\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)}$ for some standard tableau $\mathcal{T}$ of placed r-skew shape.

♣5 Suppose that M is an irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module and that w_ν is a weight vector in M of weight (ν, j) such that $\nu_i = q^{\pm 2}\nu_{i+1}$ and $j_i = j_{i+1}$. Then we have $\tau_i w_\nu = 0$.

♣6 Any irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module M is isomorphic to $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ for some placed r-skew shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$.

The claims ♣4-6 can be proved in exactly the same way as in [Ra1, Theorem 4.1 Steps 4-6]. We skip the details. □

4. Applications

In this section, we shall give several applications of Theorem 3.1.

4.1. **Restriction and induction.** Let $Y_{r,n}$ be the subalgebra of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ generated by $t_1,\ldots,t_n,g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}$, which is identified the Yokonuma-Hekce algebra. Since q is not a root of unity, $Y_{r,n}$ is semisimple and its irreducible representations are indexed by r-partitions of n. The following theorem describes the decomposition of the irreducible $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ when it is restricted to the subalgebra $Y_{r,n}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ be the irreducible calibrated representation of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$, which is constructed in Theorem 3.1. Then we have*

$$\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}\big\downarrow_{Y_{r,n}}^{\widehat{Y}_{r,n}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} c^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nu}} Y^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ runs over all r-partitions of n and $Y^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ is the irreducible $Y_{r,n}$-module indexed by $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. Moreover, $c^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nu}} := c^{\lambda^{(1)}}_{\mu^{(1)}\nu^{(1)}}\cdots c^{\lambda^{(r)}}_{\mu^{(r)}\nu^{(r)}}$, here each $c^{\lambda^{(i)}}_{\mu^{(i)}\nu^{(i)}}$ is the classical Littlewood-Richardson coefficient.

Remark 4.2. The classical Littlewood-Richardson coefficient describes the decomposition of an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{S}_k \times \mathfrak{S}_l$ when it is induced to $\mathfrak{S}_{k+l}$. By generalizing this, it has been proved in [St, Theorem 4.5] (also [IJS, Theorem 4.7]) that the $c_{\boldsymbol{\mu\nu}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ describes the decomposition of an irreducible representation of $G(r,1,k) \times G(r,1,l)$ when it is induced to $G(r,1,k+l)$, where $G(r,1,k)$ denotes the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_k$.

Theorem 4.1 gives a new way of interpreting these coefficients. Then describes the decomposition of the restriction to $Y_{r,n}$ of an irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$.

Fix k and l such that $k+l=n$. Let $\widehat{Y}_{r,k}$ be the subalgebra of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ generated by g_i, $1 \le i \le k-1$, $X_j^{\pm 1}$ and t_j, $1 \le j \le k$; let $\widehat{Y}_{r,l}$ be the subalgebra of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ generated by g_i, $k+1 \le i \le n-1$, $X_j^{\pm 1}$ and t_j, $k+1 \le j \le n$. In this way, $\widehat{Y}_{r,k} \otimes \widehat{Y}_{r,l}$ is naturally a subalgebra of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$.

Let $(\mathrm{a},\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathrm{a},(\theta^{(1)},\dots,\theta^{(r)}))$ be a placed r-skew shape with k boxes and let $(\mathrm{b},\boldsymbol{\phi}) = (\mathrm{b},(\phi^{(1)},\dots,\phi^{(r)}))$ be a placed r-skew shape with l boxes. We label the boxes of $\theta^{(i)}$ with the numbers $k_{i-1}+1,\dots,k_i$ along major diagonals from southwest to northeast for $1 \le i \le r$, where $k_0 = 1$ and $k_i = |\theta^{(i)}|$. Similarly, we label the boxes of $\phi^{(i)}$ with the numbers $k+l_{i-1}+1,\dots,k+l_i$ along major diagonals from southwest to northeast for $1 \le i \le r$, where $l_0 = 1$ and $l_i = |\phi^{(i)}|$. Let $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{a},\boldsymbol{\theta})}$ and $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{b},\boldsymbol{\phi})}$ be the irreducible calibrated representations of $\widehat{Y}_{r,k}$ and $\widehat{Y}_{r,l}$ defined in Theorem 3.1.

In the following we always assume that $(\mathrm{a},\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $(\mathrm{b},\boldsymbol{\phi})$ are such that

$$\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{box}_{(k_i)}) + 1 = \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{box}_{(k+l_{i-1}+1)}).$$

Let $\theta^{(i)} *_v \phi^{(i)}$ (resp. $\theta^{(i)} *_h \phi^{(i)}$) be the skew shape by placing $\theta^{(i)}$ and $\phi^{(i)}$ adjacent to each other in such a way that $\mathrm{box}_{(k+l_{i-1}+1)}$ of $\phi^{(i)}$ is immediately above (resp. to the right of) $\mathrm{box}_{(k_i)}$ of $\theta^{(i)}$.

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the set of the sequences by permuting the numbers $1,2,\dots,n$. For each $0 \le s \le r$ and $\{i_1,\dots,i_s,j_1,\dots,j_{r-s}\} \in \mathfrak{S}$, we define

$$(\boldsymbol{\theta} * \boldsymbol{\phi})_{(i_1,\dots,i_s,j_1,\dots,j_{r-s})} = (\theta^{(1)} *_{w_1} \phi^{(1)},\dots,\theta^{(r)} *_{w_r} \phi^{(r)}),$$

where $w_{i_1} = \cdots = w_{i_s} = v$ and $w_{j_1} = \cdots = w_{j_{r-s}} = h$.

Theorem 4.3. *With notations and assumptions as above, we have*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\widehat{Y}_{r,k}\otimes\widehat{Y}_{r,l}}^{\widehat{Y}_{r,n}} (\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{a},\boldsymbol{\theta})} \otimes \widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{b},\boldsymbol{\phi})}) = \sum_{\substack{0\le s\le r \\ \{i_1,\dots,i_s,j_1,\dots,j_{r-s}\}\in\mathfrak{S}}} (\boldsymbol{\theta} * \boldsymbol{\phi})_{(i_1,\dots,i_s,j_1,\dots,j_{r-s})}. \tag{4.2}$$

4.2. **Simple $Y_{r,n}^d$-modules and its center.** Let $d \ge 1$ and $v_1,\dots,v_d$ be some invertible elements of $\mathbb{C}$. Set $f_1 := (X_1 - v_1)\cdots(X_1 - v_d)$. Let $\mathcal{J}_d$ denote the two-sided ideal of $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ generated by f_1. We define the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $Y_{r,n}^d = Y_{r,n}^d(q)$ to be the quotient

$$Y_{r,n}^d = \widehat{Y}_{r,n}/\mathcal{J}_d.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be an r-skew shape with n boxes. We define

$$\mathrm{NW}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \{\text{northwest cornor boxes of components of } \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Fix $v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and let $(\mathrm{c}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ be a placed r-skew shape with n boxes. If*

$$\{q^{2\mathrm{c}(b)} \mid b \in \mathrm{NW}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})\} \subseteq \{v_1, \dots, v_d\},$$

then the $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ defined in Theorem 3.1 is a simple $Y^d_{r,n}$-module.

Proof. By the formula in Theorem 3.1, the matrix $\rho(X_1)$ of action of X_1 is diagonal with eigenvalues $q^{2\mathrm{c}(\mathcal{T}|1)}$ for $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$. The boxes $\mathcal{T}|1$, $\mathcal{T} \in \mathrm{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$, are exactly the northwest corner boxes of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Thus, the minimal polynomial of $\rho(X_1)$ is

$$p(t) = \prod_{b \in \mathrm{NW}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})} (t - q^{2\mathrm{c}(b)}).$$

We are done. □

Theorem 4.5. *If $Y^d_{r,n}$ is semisimple, then its simple modules are exactly the modules $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$ constructed in Lemma 4.4, where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = ((\lambda_1^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_d^{(1)}), \dots, (\lambda_1^{(r)}, \dots, \lambda_d^{(r)}))$ is an r-tuple of d-partitions with n boxes and c is the content function determined by*

$$q^{2\mathrm{c}(b)} = v_i \quad \text{if } b \text{ is the northwest corner box of } \lambda_i^{(s)} \text{ for any } 1 \le s \le r.$$

Let $P_n^{\mathbb{C}}(T)$ be the subalgebra of $Y^d_{r,n}$ generated by $t_1, \dots, t_n$ and $X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}$. Set

$$P_n^{\mathbb{C}}(T)^{\mathfrak{S}_n} = \{\sum d_{\alpha,\beta} X^\alpha t^\beta \in P_n^{\mathbb{C}}(T) \mid \sum d_{\alpha,\beta} X^\alpha t^\beta = \sum d_{\alpha,\beta} X^{w\alpha} t^{w\beta} \text{ for any } w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}.$$

Theorem 4.6. *If $Y^d_{r,n}$ is semisimple, then its center is $P_n^{\mathbb{C}}(T)^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$.*

Proof. It follows from [CWa, Theorem 2.7]. □

4.3. **Irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$-modules.** Let us first recall the presentation of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$-framed affine braid group $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr B_n^{\mathrm{aff}}$, which is given in [ChPA2, (6.5)]. It is generated by $X_1, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, t_1, \dots, t_n$ with the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 g_0 X_1 g_0 &= g_0 X_1 g_0 X_1, \\
X_1 g_i &= g_i X_1 && \text{for all } i = 2, \dots, n-1, \\
g_i g_j &= g_j g_i && \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ such that } |i-j| \ge 2, \\
g_i g_{i+1} g_i &= g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-2, \\
t_i t_j &= t_j t_i && \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\
g_i t_j &= t_{s_i(j)} g_i && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, n, \\
t_i^r &= 1 && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \\
X_1 t_j &= t_j X_1 && \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We shall denote $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr B_n^{\mathrm{aff}}$ by $\mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,1,n}$. Let $\kappa : \mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,1,n} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the group homomorphism defined by $\kappa(X_1) = 1$, $\kappa(t_j) = \kappa(g_i) = 0$ for $1 \le j \le n$ and $1 \le i \le n-1$. Let $\mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,p,n}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,1,n}$, which is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,p,n} = \{b \in \mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,p,n} \mid \kappa(b) = 0 \pmod p\}.$$

We define $X_{i+1} := g_i X_i g_i$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$. Set $X^{\varepsilon_i} = X_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$, where ε_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Set $L := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i$. For any $\lambda = \lambda_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\varepsilon_n \in L$, we

set $X^\lambda := X_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots X_n^{\lambda_n}$. Let

$$Q = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \mathbb{Z}(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_p = Q + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p\mathbb{Z}\varepsilon_i.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,p,n}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,1,n}$ generated by X^λ with $\lambda \in L_p$, g_i with $1 \le i \le n-1$, and t_j with $1 \le j \le n$.

Let $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$ be the quotient of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}^\infty_{r,p,n}$ by the following relation:

$$g_i^2 = 1 + (q - q^{-1})e_i g_i \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n-1.$$

Thus, the following set

$$\{t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_n^{a_n} X^\lambda g_w \mid 0 \le a_1, \ldots, a_n \le r-1, \lambda \in L_p, w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\}$$

gives a basis of $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$.

Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$ be a primitive p-th root of unity. The following result gives another description of $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$, which allows us to apply the Clifford theory developed in [RaR, Appendix].

Theorem 4.7. *The algebra automorphism $\sigma : \widehat{Y}_{r,n} \to \widehat{Y}_{r,n}$ defined by*

$$\sigma(X_1) = \zeta X_1, \quad \sigma(g_i) = g_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1, \quad \sigma(t_j) = t_j \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n$$

gives rise to an action of the group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{1, \sigma, \ldots, \sigma^{p-1}\}$ on $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$. Moreover, the set of fixed points of the $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-action is exactly $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$.

If $(\mathrm{c}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ is a placed r-skew shape with n boxes and $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\sigma(\mathrm{c}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}) = (\mathrm{c} - \alpha i/p, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

where $\alpha = 2\pi/\ln(q^2)$ and $\mathrm{c} - \alpha i/p$ denotes the content function defined by $(\mathrm{c} - \alpha i/p)(b) = \mathrm{c}(b) - \alpha i/p$ for all boxes $b \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Let $K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ be the stabilizer of $(\mathrm{c}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ under the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and k be the smallest integer between 1 and p such that

$$q^{2\sigma^k(\mathrm{c})(b)} = q^{2\mathrm{c}(b)} \quad \text{for all } b \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

Then $K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})} = \{\sigma^{kl} \mid 0 \le l \le |K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}| - 1\}$. We define the element

$$p_j = \sum_{l=0}^{|K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}|-1} (\zeta^{-jk})^l \sigma^{kl} \tag{4.4}$$

is the minimal idempotent of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ corresponding to the irreducible character η_j, where η_j is given explicitly by

$$\eta_j : K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma^k \mapsto \zeta^{jk}.$$

It follows from a standard double centralizer result that, as a $(\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}, K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})})$-bimodule, we have

$$\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})} \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{|K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}|-1} \widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu},j)} \otimes K^{(j)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu},j)} = p_j\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ and $K^{(j)}$ is the irreducible $K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$-module with character η_j. Applying [RaR, Theorem A.13] we get the following result.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ be a placed r-skew shape with n boxes and let $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ be the irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}$-module, which is constructed in Theorem 3.1. Let $K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ be the stabilizer of $(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})$ under the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. If p_j is the minimal idempotent of $K_{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ defined in (4.4), then $\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu},j)} = p_j\widehat{Y}^{(\mathrm{c},\boldsymbol{\lambda}/\boldsymbol{\mu})}$ is an irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$-module. Moreover, all the irreducible calibrated $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$-modules can be obtained in this way.*

4.4. **Simple $Y^{d,p}_{r,n}$-modules.** Let us return to §4.2 and consider a particular case. Assume that p divides d and $e = d/p$. For fixed $x_0,\dots,x_{e-1} \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we define, for $1 \le j \le d$,

$$v_j = \zeta^k x_l \quad \text{if } j-1 = lp+k \text{ with unique } 0 \le l \le e-1 \text{ and } 0 \le k \le p-1.$$

Then we have

$$(X_1 - v_1)(X_1 - v_2)\cdots(X_1 - v_d) = (g_1^p - x_0^p)(g_1^p - x_1^p)\cdots(g_1^p - x_{e-1}^p).$$

We define the algebra $Y^{d,p}_{r,n}$ as the subalgebra of $Y^d_{r,n}$ generated by the elements:

$$a_0 = X_1^p, \quad a_1 = X_1^{-1}g_1X_1, \quad a_i = g_{i-1} \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n, \quad t_j \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n.$$

We have a natural surjective homomorphism from $\widehat{Y}^p_{r,n}$ to $Y^{d,p}_{r,n}$. Assume that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = ((\lambda^{(1)}_1,\dots,\lambda^{(1)}_d),\dots,(\lambda^{(r)}_1,\dots,\lambda^{(r)}_d))$ is an r-tuple of d-partitions with n boxes. We shall regard each d-partition $(\lambda^{(h)}_1,\dots,\lambda^{(h)}_d)$ as e groups of p-partitions, so that we can write

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(h)}_{(l,k)}) \quad \text{for } 1 \le h \le r, 0 \le l \le e-1 \text{ and } 0 \le k \le p-1.$$

Moreover, we define the content of a box b of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ as

$$\mathrm{ct}(b) = \zeta^k x_l q^{2(j-i)} \quad \text{if } b \text{ is in position } (i,j) \text{ in } \lambda^{(h)}_{(l,k)}.$$

For each (r,e,p)-partition $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(h)}_{(l,k)})$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, we define an action of σ on $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ as $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\lambda^{(h)}_{(l,k-1)})$ such that $\mathrm{ct}(\sigma(b)) = \zeta^{-1}\mathrm{ct}(b)$. Let $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be the stabilizer of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ under the action of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $f_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is the smallest integer between 1 and p such that $\sigma^{f_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.

By [ChPA2, Proposition 4.6], the irreducible representations of $Y^d_{r,n}$ are indexed by r-tuple of d-partitions. For each such $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, we denote by $V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ the corresponding irreducible representations of $Y^d_{r,n}$.

It follows from a standard double centralizer result that, as a $(Y^d_{r,n}, K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$-bimodule, we have

$$V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{|K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}|-1} V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda},j} \otimes Z^{(j)},$$

where $V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda},j}$ is a $Y^{d,p}_{r,n}$-module and $Z^{(j)}$ is the irreducible $K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$-module with character η_j, which is given explicitly by

$$\eta_j : K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \sigma^{f_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \mapsto \zeta^{jf_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}.$$

Applying [RaR, Theorem A.13] we get the following result.

Theorem 4.9. *With notations as above, the modules $V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda},j}$, where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ runs over all r-tuple of d-partitions and $0 \leq j \leq |K_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}| - 1$, form a complete set of non-isomorphic irreducible modules of $Y_{r,n}^{d,p}$.*

5. Appendix. Completely splittable representations of degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras

In the appendix, we give a complete classification and construction of irreducible completely splittable representations of degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras $D_{r,n}$ and the reflection groups of type $G(r,1,n)$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic $p > 0$ such that p does not divide r. Let $I = \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Definition 5.1. The degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, denoted by $D_{r,n}$, is an associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra generated by the elements $t_1, \dots, t_n, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, x_1, \dots, x_n$ in which the generators $t_1, \dots, t_n, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{array}{rl} f_i f_j = f_j f_i & \text{for all } i,j = 1,\dots,n-1 \text{ such that } |i-j| \geq 2; \\ f_i f_{i+1} f_i = f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} & \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n-2; \\ t_i t_j = t_j t_i & \text{for all } i,j = 1,\dots,n; \\ f_i t_j = t_{s_i(j)} f_i & \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n-1 \text{ and } j = 1,\dots,n; \\ t_i^r = 1 & \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n; \\ f_i^2 = 1 & \text{for all } i = 1,\dots,n-1, \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

together with the following relations concerning the generators $x_1, \dots, x_n$:

$$\begin{array}{rl} x_i x_j = x_j x_i; & \\ f_i x_{i+1} = x_i f_i + e_i; & \\ f_i x_j = x_j f_i & \text{for all } j \neq i, i+1; \\ t_j x_i = x_i t_j & \text{for all } i,j = 1,\dots,n. \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

Remark 5.2. The degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra $D_{r,n}$ is in fact a special case of the wreath Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(G)$ defined in [WaW, Definition 2.4] when $G = C_r$ is the cyclic group of order r; see also [RaS].

We always consider the category $\mathrm{Rep}_I D_{r,n}$ of integral representations of $D_{r,n}$, which consists of the finite dimensional modules on which the generators x_i's have eigenvalues lying in I. Recall that $J = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

Definition 5.3. For $M \in \mathrm{Rep}_I D_{r,n}$ and $(\alpha, j) = ((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (j_1, \dots, j_n)) \in I^n \times J^n$, we define the (α, j)-weight space of M by

$$M_{(\alpha,j)} = \{m \in M \mid (X_i - \alpha_i)^N m = (t_i - \zeta_{j_i}) m = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } N \gg 0\}. \tag{5.3}$$

By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have $M = \bigoplus_{(\alpha,j) \in I^n \times J^n} M_{(\alpha,j)}$.

Definition 5.4. A module $M \in \mathrm{Rep}_I D_{r,n}$ is called completely splittable if the x_i's act semisimply on it.

The following lemma follows easily from [WaW, Theorem 5.16] and [Ru, Theorem 2.13].

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that $M \in \mathrm{Rep}_I D_{r,n}$ is irreducible and completely splittable. Then for all weights $(\alpha, j) = ((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (j_1, \dots, j_n)) \in I^n \times J^n$ of M and all $1 \le i < n$, we have $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_{i+1}$ whenever $j_i = j_{i+1}$.*

Proposition 5.6. *Assume that $M \in \mathrm{Rep}_I D_{r,n}$ is irreducible and completely splittable. Let $(\alpha, j) = ((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (j_1, \dots, j_n)) \in I^n \times J^n$ be a weight of M, and let $w_{(\alpha,j)}$ be a nonzero weight vector of weight (α, j). Then for all $1 \le i < n$, we have*

(i) *If $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$, $w_{(s_i\alpha, s_i j)} := f_i w_{(\alpha,j)}$ is a weight vector of weight $(s_i\alpha, s_i j)$, and it is not proportional to $w_{(\alpha,j)}$.*

(ii) *If $j_i = j_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i \pm 1$, $f_i w_{(\alpha,j)} = \pm w_{(\alpha,j)}$.*

(iii) *If $j_i = j_{i+1}$ and $\alpha_{i+1} \neq \alpha_i \pm 1$, then*

$$w_{(s_i\alpha,j)} := \Big(f_i - \frac{1}{\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i}\Big) w_{(\alpha,j)}$$

is a weight vector of weight $(s_i\alpha, j)$. The elements x_i, x_{i+1}, f_i act on the basis $\{w_{(\alpha,j)}, w_{(s_i\alpha,j)}\}$ in the following way:

$$x_i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{i+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad f_i = \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)^{-1} & 1 - (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)^{-2} \\ 1 & (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

First we consider the case that $p = 2$. In this case, we have $I = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\mathrm{Cont}_2(D_{r,n})$ be the set of all the sequences $(\alpha, j) = ((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (j_1, \dots, j_n)) \in I^n \times J^n$ such that $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_{i+1}$ whenever $j_i = j_{i+1}$. We call s_i admissible if $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$. We put an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathrm{Cont}_2(D_{r,n})$ by saying that $\alpha \sim \beta$ if α can be obtained from β by a sequence of admissible transpositions.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Cont}_2(D_{r,n})/\sim$ be an equivalence class. Then there exists an irreducible completely splittable module $D^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ whose weights are exactly the elements of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. $D^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ has a basis $\{v_{(\alpha,j)} \mid (\alpha, j) \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}\}$ with a $D_{r,n}$-action given by*

$$t_i v_{(\alpha,j)} = \zeta_{j_i} v_{(\alpha,j)} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n,$$

$$x_i v_{(\alpha,j)} = \alpha_i v_{(\alpha,j)} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le n,$$

If $j_k \neq j_{k+1}$ for some $1 \le k \le n-1$, we have $f_k v_{(\alpha,j)} = v_{(s_k\alpha, s_k j)}$; if $j_k = j_{k+1}$, we have $f_k v_{(\alpha,j)} = v_{(\alpha,j)}$. Moreover, all the irreducible completely splittable representations of $D_{r,n}$ can be obtained in this way.

From now on, we always assume that $p > 2$.

Definition 5.8. We say a simple transposition s_i is admissible with respect to the weight (α, j) if $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ or $\alpha_{i+1} \neq \alpha_i \pm 1$ whenever $j_i = j_{i+1}$.

Let us denote by $\mathrm{Spec}_p(D_{r,n})$ the set of all weights occurring in irreducible completely splittable modules of $D_{r,n}$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let $(\alpha, j) \in \mathrm{Spec}_p(D_{r,n})$, and suppose that $\alpha_i = \alpha_k$ for some $1 \le i < k \le n$ with $j_i = j_k$. Then we have $\{\alpha_i + 1, \alpha_i - 1\} \subseteq \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_s}\}$, where $i+1 \le i_1, \dots, i_s \le k-1$ are all the elements such that $j_{i_1} = \cdots = j_{i_s} = j_i = j_k$.*

Let $(\alpha, j) \in I^n \times J^n$ be such that, whenever $\alpha_i = \alpha_k$ for some $1 \leq i < k \leq n$ with $j_i = j_k$, we have $\{\alpha_i + 1, \alpha_i - 1\} \subseteq \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_s}\}$, where $i+1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_s \leq k-1$ are all the elements such that $j_{i_1} = \cdots = j_{i_s} = j_i = j_k$, then we call (α, j) a content vector of length n. We write $\mathrm{Cont}_p(D_{r,n})$ for the set of all such vectors, and put an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathrm{Cont}_p(D_{r,n})$ by saying that $\alpha \sim \beta$ if α can be obtained from β by a sequence of admissible transpositions.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Cont}_p(D_{r,n})/\sim$ be an equivalence class. Then there exists an irreducible completely splittable module $D^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ whose weights are exactly the elements of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. $D^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ has a basis $\{v_{(\alpha,j)} \mid (\alpha, j) \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}\}$ with a $D_{r,n}$-action given by*

$$t_i v_{(\alpha,j)} = \zeta_{j_i} v_{(\alpha,j)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$x_i v_{(\alpha,j)} = \alpha_i v_{(\alpha,j)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

If $j_k \neq j_{k+1}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have $f_k v_{(\alpha,j)} = v_{(s_k\alpha, s_k j)}$; if $j_k = j_{k+1}$, we have

$$f_k v_{(\alpha,j)} = (\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k)^{-1} v_{(\alpha,j)} + \sqrt{1 - (\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k)^{-2}}\, v_{(s_i\alpha, j)},$$

where we take some fixed choice of square roots in $\mathbb{K}$. Moreover, all the irreducible completely splittable representations of $D_{r,n}$ can be obtained in this way.

We now apply the results obtained above to the modular representation theory of the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$. Recall that $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, which is isomorphic to the reflection group $W_{r,n}$ of type $G(r, 1, n)$, is generated by elements $t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$ with relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_j^r = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n, && s_i^2 = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1;\\
&t_i t_j = t_j t_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n, && s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1;\\
&g_i t_j = t_{s_i j} g_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n, && s_i s_j = s_j s_i \text{ if } |i-j| > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We regard the group algebra $\mathbb{K}W_{r,n}$ of $W_{r,n}$ as the quotient of $D_{r,n}$ obtained by letting $x_1 = 0$. Thus, for $i \geq 1$, x_i is sent to the i-th Jucys-Murphy element ξ_k defined by

$$\xi_k := \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} t_i^s t_k^{-s} (i, k).$$

Definition 5.11. A $\mathbb{K}W_{r,n}$-module M is called completely splittable if the Jucys-Murphy elements ξ_k's act semisimply on it.

Definition 5.12. We define $\mathrm{Spec}_p(W_{r,n}) \subset \mathrm{Spec}_p(D_{r,n})$ to be a set consisting of weights $(\alpha, j) \in \mathrm{Spec}_p(D_{r,n})$ which satisfy the additional conditions:

(1) $\alpha_{i_1^p} = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq r$, where $i_1^p < i_2^p < \cdots < i_{s_p}^p$ are all the elements such that $j_{i_1^p} = j_{i_2^p} = \cdots = j_{i_{s_p}^p} = p$.

(2) For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, $\{\alpha_i + 1, \alpha_i - 1\} \cap \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_s}\} \neq \varnothing$, where $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$ are all the elements such that $j_{i_1} = \cdots = j_{i_s} = j_i$.

Lemma 5.13. $\mathrm{Spec}_p(W_{r,n})$ *is exactly the set of weights occurring in irreducible completely spplittable $\mathbb{K}W_{r,n}$-modules.*

Recall that if $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k > 0)$ is a p-regular partition of n, we say that λ is a splittable shape if $\chi(\lambda) \leq p$, where $\chi(\lambda) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_r + r$. An r-splittable shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is an r-partition of n such that each $\lambda^{(i)}$ is a splittable shape. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is an r-splittable shape, we call a standard $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-tableau $\mathfrak{t}$ p-standard if for any two of its entries $((i,j),k)$ and $((i',j'),k')$ with $k = k'$, $i > i'$, $j < j'$, and $i - i' + j' - j + 1 = p$, we have $\mathfrak{t}((i',j'),k') > \mathfrak{t}((i,j),k)$, where $\mathfrak{t}((i,j),k)$ denotes the entry of $\mathfrak{t}$ in the i-th row and j-th column of $\mathfrak{t}^{(k)}$. We have the following result.

Theorem 5.14. *The simple completely splittable $\mathbb{K}W_{r,n}$-modules are indexed by r-splittable shapes. The module $D^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ corresponding to such a $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ has a basis $\{v_{\mathfrak{t}}\}$ indexed by p-standard tableaux of shape $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. For each nonzero weight vector $v_{\mathfrak{t}}$, we have*

$$t_j v_{\mathfrak{t}} = \zeta_{\mathrm{p}_j} v_{\mathfrak{t}} \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq j \leq n;$$

$$g_i v_{\mathfrak{t}} = v_{s_i\mathfrak{t}} \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \qquad \text{if } \mathrm{p}_i \neq \mathrm{p}_{i+1};$$

$$g_i v_{\mathfrak{t}} = (\mathrm{c}_{i+1} - \mathrm{c}_i)^{-1} v_{\mathfrak{t}} + \sqrt{1 - (\mathrm{c}_{i+1} - \mathrm{c}_i)^{-2}}\, v_{s_i\mathfrak{t}} \qquad \text{if } \mathrm{p}_i = \mathrm{p}_{i+1},$$

where p_i is the position of the box containing the number i and c_i is the content of the box containing i.

Acknowledgements. The author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11601273).

References

[BW] A. Björner and M. Wachs, Generalized quotients in Coxeter groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **308** (1988) 1-37.

[Ch] I. Cherednik, Special bases of irreducible representations of a degenerate affine Hecke algebra, Funct. Anal. Appl. **20** (1986) 76-78.

[ChPA1] M. Chlouveraki and L. Poulain d'Andecy, Representation theory of the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, Adv. Math. **259** (2014) 134-172.

[ChPA2] M. Chlouveraki and L. Poulain d'Andecy, Markov traces on affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2015) rnv257, 62 pp.

[ChS] M. Chlouveraki and V. Sécherre, The affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and the pro-p-Iwahori-Hecke algebra, Math. Res. Lett., to appear, arXiv: 1504.04557.

[C1] W. Cui, Cellularity of cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, arXiv: 1506.07321.

[C2] W. Cui, Affine cellularity of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, submitted, arXiv: 1510.02647.

[C3] W. Cui, A categorical equivalence between affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras and some quiver Hecke algebras, arXiv: 1405.6705.

[C4] W. Cui, Fusion procedure for Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, preprint, arXiv: 1405.4477.

[CWa] W. Cui and J. Wan, Modular representations and branching rules for affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, submitted, arXiv: 1506.06570.

[ER] J. Espinoza and S. Ryom-Hansen, Cell structures for the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and the algebra of braids and ties, arXiv: 1506.00715.

[IJS] F. Ingram, N. Jing and E. Stitzinger, Wreath product symmetric functions, Int. J. Algebra **3** (2009) 1-19.

[JaPA] N. Jacon and L. Poulain d'Andecy, An isomorphism theorem for Yokonuma-Hecke algebras and applications to link invariants, Math. Z. **283** (2016) 301-338.

[Ju1] J. Juyumaya, Sur les nouveaux générateurs de l'algèbre de Hecke $\mathcal{H}(G,U,1)$. (French) On new generators of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G,U,1)$, J. Algebra **204** (1998) 49-68.

[Ju2] J. Juyumaya, Markov trace on the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **13** (2004) 25-39.

[JuK] J. Juyumaya and S. Kannan, Braid relations in the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, J. Algebra **239** (2001) 272-297.

[Kle] A. Kleshchev, Completely splittable representations of symmetric groups, J. Algebra **181** (1996) 584-592.

[KleRa] A. Kleshchev and A. Ram, Homogeneous representations of Khovanov-Lauda algebras, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **12** (2010) 1293-1306.

[Lu] G. Lusztig, Character sheaves on disconnected groups. VII, Represent. Theory (electronic) **9** (2005) 209-266.

[Ma] O. Mathieu, On the dimension of some modular irreducible representations of the symmetric group, Lett. Math. Phys. **38** (1996) 23-32.

[Mat] A. Mathas, Seminormal forms and Gram determinants for cellular algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. **619** (2008) 141-173.

[OV] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996) 581-605.

[PA] L. Poulain d'Andecy, Invariants for links from classical and affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, arXiv:1602.05429.

[Ra1] A. Ram, Skew shape representations are irreducible, Combinatorial and geometric representation theory (Seoul, 2001), 161-189, Contemp. Math., 325, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[Ra2] A. Ram, Affine Hecke algebras and generalized standard Young tableaux. Special issue celebrating the 80th birthday of Robert Steinberg, J. Algebra **260** (2003) 367-415.

[RaR] A. Ram and J. Ramagge, Affine Hecke algebras, cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Clifford theory, A tribute to C. S. Seshadri (Chennai, 2002), 428-466, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

[RaS] A. Ram and A. Shepler, Classification of graded Hecke algebras for complex reflection groups, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003) 308-334.

[Ro] S. Rostam, Cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras are cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras, 45 pages (2016), arXiv:1603.03901v2.

[Ru] O. Ruff, Completely splittable representations of symmetric groups and affine Hecke algebras, J. Algebra **305** (2006) 1197-1211.

[St] I. Stein, The Littlewood-Richardson rule for wreath products with symmetric groups and the quiver of the category $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$, arXiv: 1512. 02170.

[Wa] J. Wan, Completely splittable representations of affine Hecke-Clifford algebras, J. Algebraic Combin. **32** (2010) 15-58.

[WaW] J. Wan and W. Wang, Modular representations and branching rules for wreath Hecke algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2008) Art. ID rnn 128, 31 pp.

[Yo] T. Yokonuma, Sur la structure des anneaux de Hecke d'un groupe de Chevalley fini, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B **264** (1967) 344-347.

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, P.R. China.
E-mail address: cwdeng@amss.ac.cn